

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,686	12/31/2003	George Fitzmaurice	1500.1087	1971
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 04/01/200 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		SHERMAN, STEPHEN G		
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. IN DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- ,		2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,686	FITZMAURICE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	STEPHEN G. SHERMAN	2629	

	STEPHEN G. SHERMAN	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
percous: The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(i).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any serned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	lianas with 27 CER 44 27 must be 6	Iladithin two worth	a of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Amr Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As the applicant points out in the response, the limitation "lower comer" was presented in claim 28 previously, however, the other independent claims to which this limitation was added did not previously have this limitation and these claims are of different scope. Claim 28 was rejected with different references than these other claims, meaning that this limitation added to the other claims would require further consideration and/or search. The applicant falls to even point out the supposed errors in the rejection of claim 28, but rather individually attacks the Ptiroda reference, which was not even used to teach the "lower corner" limitation. Based on all of these factors, the amended claims would require further consideration and/or search.